## **Convective Transition Statistics for Climate Model Diagnostics**

J. D. Neelin<sup>1</sup>, Y.-H. Kuo<sup>1</sup>, K. A. Schiro<sup>1</sup>, F. Ahmed<sup>1</sup> B. Langenbrunner<sup>1</sup>, A. Gettelman<sup>2</sup>, C.-C. Chen<sup>2</sup>, R. B. Neale<sup>2</sup>, Y. Ming<sup>3</sup>, E. D. Maloney<sup>4</sup> +Xianan Jiang<sup>1</sup>, C. Zhang<sup>5</sup>, S. Xie<sup>5</sup>, P. Gleckler<sup>5</sup>, ... <sup>1</sup>UCLA: <sup>2</sup>NCAR: <sup>3</sup>GFDL: <sup>4</sup>CSU: <sup>5</sup>LLNL

**Context:** NOAA model diagnostics task force **Convective transition background:** water vapor-precipitation relation association with conditional instability  $\Rightarrow$  constraints for models **Observational basis:** satellite retrievals, in situ, GPS; scale dependence **Model comparisons:** time slice experiments, MJO task force expts, parameter perturbation experiments

Next directions: Convective transition in  $\theta_e$  coordinates; ARM site diagnostics; unorganized vs organized convection

Supported in part by: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (MAPP Program), Department of Energy (RGCM Program), National Science Foundation (AGS)

## **Context:** NOAA MAPP Model Diagnostics Task Force (MDTF)

- see talk by Dan Barrie on Thursday; poster by Eric Maloney (Chair) + talks/posters by J. Booth, A. Berg, H. Annamalai, J. Wang, X. Xu, D. Kim, X. Jiang ...
- Time-slice experiment (GFDL and NCAR model versions):
  - intervals with high-frequency 3-D output, incl. 5-yr (2008-2012) with 6-hourly, 2-yr with hourly (2009-2010)
  - moist static energy budget terms (GFDL model— see poster by Ming Zhao)
- + connections to MJO task force, CMIP5, DOE ARM data
- Here we focus on a set of diagnostics for the onset of precipitation (esp. deep convective) as a function of environmental thermodynamic variables

## **MDTF diagnostics package**

- "process-oriented diagnostic" characterizes a physical process hypothesized to be related to the ability to simulate an observed phenomenon (Eyring et al. 2005, *BAMS*; Sperber & Waliser 2008, *BAMS*; Maloney et al. 2014, *J. Clim*.; Kim et al. 2014, *J. Clim*.)
- diagnostics to be repeatable in modeling center workflow, focused on model improvement

## **Applications Programming Interface**

- python script:
  - 1. Set up paths, variable names,..
  - 2. Call diagnostics **contributed by various groups\*** >plots
  - 3. Compose plots into a web page
- \*open source, observational comparisons supplied; user can test a diagnostic to submit, contributed to library of diagnostics
- Currently being developed with NCAR, GFDL

Eric Maloney (CSU), Andrew Gettelman, Jack Chen (NCAR), Yi Ming (GFDL), Yi-Hung Kuo, JDN, + others.... (UCLA)

## Example of process oriented diagnostics metric\*:

#### Model Winter MJO Eastward Propagation and Low-Level Mean Moisture over the Maritime Continent





Gonzalez & Jiang 2017

Jiang 2017; see also Jiang et al. 2015; Maloney et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014

\*that connects with MJO task force—see Friday morning schedule & with analysis below



## Convective transition background:

water vapor-precipitation relation association with conditional instability

### Indications of importance of lower free tropospheric moisture: Austin 1948; Yoneyama and Fujitani 1995; Brown and Zhang 1997; Raymond et al. 1998; Sherwood 1999; Parsons et al. 2000; Raymond 2000; Tompkins 2001; Redelsperger et al. 2002; Derbyshire et al. 2004; Sobel et al. 2004; Tian et al. 2006; Kiladis et al. 2008...

Entraining plume: dry free troposphere kills buoyancy; non-entraining: predicts deep convection even for dry columns, instead of observed pickup



## by column water vapor, CWV

Neelin et al., *Phil Trans. Roy. Soc. A*, 2008; Holloway & Neelin, *JAS*, 2009

## **Convective transition background:**

Entraining parcel buoyancy by column water vapor bins



Entrainment scheme ~1/z 'Deep inflow A' (with rapid freezing) • Highest few column water vapor bins deep convective • Over Amazon additional effects (convective inhibition, recovery from ABL cooling by precip, microphysics,...) but free tropospheric moisture via entrainment still leading effect

## **Observational basis:**

**Convective Transition Statistics at GOAmazon Site, Manacapura, BR** 

#### Previous work: satellite retrievals & ground-based measurements: e.g., Bretherton et al. 2004 (J. Clim.),

e.g., Bretherton et al. 2004 (J. Clim.), Peters and Neelin 2006 (Nature Phys.), Holloway and Neelin 2009 (JAS), Sahany et al. 2012 (JAS), Ahmed and Schumacher 2015 (GRL), ...



#### **GOAmazon Ground-based Measurements**

- Sharp increase in precip and probability of precip with columnintegrated water vapor (CWV) above a critical threshold
- PDF of CWV for precipitating points peaks around threshold
- Robust over tropical land and ocean

## **Observational basis:**

### **Convective Transition Statistics, Land vs Ocean**

- Close parallels over land & ocean
  - Sharp increase in rain rate and probability of precip with increasing CWV
  - Verified using multiple CWV measurements (microwave radiometer, radiosonde, GPS)
- The peak in precipitating points near critical value, decreasing sharply at higher CWV, consistent with dissipative effects of precipitation on CWV and convection on buoyancy.
  - Onset occurs at lower CWV over land than over ocean, even wher considering temperature



### **Observational basis: Convective** Transition Statistics over oceans

Condensing stats for Precip(CWV,T)

W. Pacific 20°S-20°N 2002/6-2014/5

RSS TMIv7 CWV & Precip (0.25° snapshot)

NCEP-DOE Reanalysis-2 Temperature (2° 6-hourly)

T: 1000-200 mb mass-weighted column average temperature

q<sub>sat</sub>: column-integrated saturation specific humidity
Precip event (>0.75 mm/hr)

Bins with PDF<10<sup>-5</sup> trimmed



## **Robust across instruments**

- TMI (emissivity) vs. PR (reflectivity)
- TMIv7 CWV used in both cases
- More scatter for PR
- Quantitative agreement

TMIv7 CWV & Precip (0.25° snapshot) Reanalysis-2 Temperature (2° 6-hourly) Bins with PDF<10<sup>-5</sup> trimmed TRMM PR Precip 2A25 ver. 7.00 (0.05° instantaneous)



## **Robust to temperature measures**

 $T_{ave}$ 



### Vertical temperature profiles

for precipitating points (P>0.25mm/hr; departure from avg) tend to be deep through troposphere, motivating T<sub>ave</sub> =avg 200-1000mb



## **Robust to temperature measures**



## **Spatial Resolution** Dependence

RSS TMIv7 CWV & Precip (0.25° instantaneous) NCEP-DOE Reanalysis-2 Temperature (2° 6-hourly) T: 1000-200 mb mass-weighted column average temperature

**q**<sub>sat</sub>: column-integrated saturation specific humidity Precip event (>0.75 mm/hr)

Bins with PDF<10<sup>-5</sup> trimmed



- Precip Pickup independent of spatial resolution (up to ~1.5°; 2.5° fine, slightly less sharp)
- Probability increases with coarse-graining
- PDF insensitive to resolution, except for high CWV

## **Coarse-graining in Time**

- Snapshot not part of standard model output

rain gauge, radiometer



- Reasonably robust up to a few hours time-average
- daily avg. tends to smooth out fundamental pickup: related but not optimal for fast-timescale processes

## Model comparisons:

Coordinated Model Comparison in AM4 & CAM5

- Qualitatively reasonable, with quantitative discrepancies

NOAA Model Diagnostic Task Force Timeslice Experiments 1° uncoupled; 2yr of history



## Model comparisons: collapsed statistics

**Coordinated Model Comparison in AM4 & CAM5** 

- Qualitatively reasonable, but with quantitative discrepancies



## Model comparisons: collapsed statistics

Coordinated Model Comparison in AM4 & CAM5

- Qualitatively reasonable, but with quantitative discrepancies



## Model comparisons:

# Multi-model comparison: Convective transition statistics applied to MDTF + MJOTF/GASS<sup>\*</sup> models

- MJO task force MJOTF/GASS MJO project (climate simulation component, e.g., Petch et al. 2011, Jiang et al. 2015, Jiang 2017, Gonzalez and Jiang 2017)
- 20 years, AGCM or atmosphere-ocean coupled
- 20 years, 6 hourly avg., re-gridded to 2.5° × 2.5° http://www.ucar.edu/yotc/mjodiab.html



\*GASS: Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment Atmospheric System Study

### (TMIv7r1 CWV & Precip; Reanalysis-2 Temp.)



 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 



0.78

268

269 270

271 272 273 274

T(K)

45

268

269

270

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

271 272 273 274

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics

#### Precip. cond. avg. on CWV Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr PDF of CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 8 1.0 Precip > 0.25 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> • 265 WPac Probability of Precip 7.0 9.0 7.0 9.0 7.0 9.0 7.0 10 7.0 7 $10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$ 266 • Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) 6 267 PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) 5 268 10-3 $10^{-3}$ 269 4 270 3 271 $10^{-4}$ $10^{-4}$ 272 2 273 1 0 0.0 $10^{-5}$ 10-5 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 10 20 CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) Convective transition: Collapsed statistics Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr Precip. cond. avg. on CWV PDF of CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 10<sup>2</sup> 1.0 10<sup>1</sup> 8 AN AA Slope=0.50 WPac • 266 Precip > 0.25 mm hr7 • 267 Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 6 (<sup>7</sup>) HODE/MO 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> 268 • PDF/PDF(wc) 5 269 10<sup>0</sup> 270 4 271 3 272 $10^{-1}$ 2 • 273 $10^{-2}$ $10^{-3}$ 0 0.0 -45 -30 -15 0 15 -30 -15 15 -3015 -30-150 -45 0 -45 -150 -4515 CWV-wc (mm) CWV-wc (mm) CWV-wc (mm) CWV-wc (mm) Critical CWV, Column saturation & Critical column relative humidity Critical CWV, Col. Satn Crit. Col. RH 85 1.00 Highly • WPac WPac 0.98 80 • EPac EPac 0.96 Atl MJOTF Atl consistent 75 0.94 • Ind • Ind 0.92 70 0.90 between

Convective transition: Basic statistics

6-Hourly,2.5° CAM5 (NCAR)



hourly and 6hourly



#### Convective transition: Basic statistics

**Recall: MDTF** Timeslice Exp. Hourly,1° **GFDL AM4** 2-plume



## Quant. match with obs; higher PDF for high CWV & l <sub>ave</sub>

• Ind



0.86 0.84

0.82

0.80

0.78

268

Reanalysis-2 + TMIv

271

 $\widehat{T}(K)$ 

272 273 274

0.25

269 270

60

55

50

45

268

Reanalysis-2 + TMIv7r1

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

271 272 273 274

0.25

270

269

**GFDL AM4** 

Donner

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics



0.88

0.86 0.84

0.82

0.80

0.78

268

Reanalysis-2

270

0.25

269

TMIN

272 273 274

271

T(K)

60

55

50

45

268

Reanalysis-2 + TMIv7r1

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

270 271 272 273 274

0.25

269

**GFDL AM4** 

Donner

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics



268

269

270

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

271 272 273 274

268 269

270

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

271 272 273 274

Convective transition: Basic statistics



0.84

0.82

0.80

0.78

268 269 TMIN7r1

272 273 274

271

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

270

TMIv7r1

271 272 273 274

cic.7

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

0.25

270

55

50

45

268

269

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics

Good MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)



0.80

0.78

268

269 270

271 272 273 274

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

50

45

268

269 270

271

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

272 273

274

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics

Bad MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)

#### Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr Precip. cond. avg. on CWV PDF of CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 1.0 Precip > 0.25 mm hr $^{-1}$ WPac • 266 10-2 267 $10^{-2}$ 268 PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) 269 10-3 $10^{-3}$ 270 271 272 $10^{-4}$ $10^{-4}$ 273 • 274 0.0 10 $10^{-5}$ 40 50 60 70 80 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 20 30 10 20 10 CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) Convective transition: Collapsed statistics Precip. cond. avg. on CWV Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr PDF of CWV 10<sup>2</sup> 1.0 10<sup>1</sup> Slope=0.45 • 267 WPac $Precip > 0.25 \text{ mm hr}^{-1}$ 268 . 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 269 PDF/PDF(wc) PDF/PDF(wc) 270 10-1 100 271 272 273 . 10-1 10-2 • 274

10

-45

-30

-15

CWV-w<sub>c</sub> (mm)

0

15

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics

Critical CWV, Column saturation & Critical column relative humidity

15

MJOTF 6-Hourly,2.5° **MRI AGCM** 

0.0

-45

-30

-15

CWV-w<sub>c</sub> (mm)

0

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1 0

8

6

5

4

3

2 1

0

-45

-30

-15

CWV-wc (mm)

0

15

Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>)

Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>)



## Pickup good but too high; good PDFs |<sub>P>.25</sub>

-15

CWV-wc (mm)

0

15

 $10^{-3}$ 

-45

-30

Good MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)

#### Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr Precip. cond. avg. on CWV PDF of CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 1.0 Precip > 0.25 mm hr • 266 WPac 267 10-2 $10^{-2}$ (r - mu 10<sup>-3</sup> Hora 10<sup>-4</sup> 268 PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) 269 $10^{-3}$ 270 271 272 10-273 • 274 • 275 0.0 10 $10^{-5}$ 40 50 60 70 80 50 60 70 80 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 10 20 30 40 10 20 30 CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) Convective transition: Collapsed statistics Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr Precip. cond. avg. on CWV PDF of CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 10<sup>2</sup> 1.0 10<sup>1</sup> Slope=0.36 • 268 WPac Probability of Precip 70 90 80 80 80 recip > 0.25 mm hr269 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> (<sup>3</sup>) HODE (<sup>6</sup>) 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> 270 PDF/PDF(Wc) 271 100 272 273 • 274 10-• 275

 $10^{-2}$ 

-45

-30

-15

CWV-wc (mm)

Convective transition: Basic statistics

Critical CWV, Column saturation & Critical column relative humidity

15

MJOTF 6-Hourly,2.5° **CWB** (Taiwan) **GFS** 

-30 -15

CWV-wc (mm)

0.0

-45

-30

-15

CWV-wc (mm)

0

15

0

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

8

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

-45

Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>)

Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>)



Bad: 2-step pickup pb.; poor PDFs & PDFs|<sub>P>.25</sub> Bad MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)

 $10^{-3}$ 

-45

-30

-15

CWV-wc (mm)

0

15

15

0

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics



0.78

268

270

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

269

271 272 273 274

45

268

270

271

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

269

272 273 274

Jiang 2017)

#### Precip. cond. avg. on CWV Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr PDF of CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 1.0 8 Precip > 0.25 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> WPac 264 Probability of Precip 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 7 10-2 10-2 265 Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) • 6 266 • PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) 5 267 . 10-3 $10^{-3}$ 268 4 269 3 270 271 10 $10^{-4}$ 2 272 1 273 0 0.0 10 10-5 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) Convective transition: Collapsed statistics Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr PDF of CWV Precip. cond. avg. on CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 8 1.0 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> Slope=0.68 WPac • 264 Precip > 0.7 Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) • 265 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 6 (<sup>5</sup> M) 404/404 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> • 266 PDF/PDF(Wc) 5 267 10<sup>0</sup> 268 4 269 3 270 10 2 271 272 $10^{-2}$ $10^{-3}$ 273 0 0.0 -45 -30 -15 0 15 -45 -30-15 0 15 -45 -30-15 0 15 -45 -30 -15 0 15 CWV-wc (mm) CWV-wc (mm) CWV-wc (mm) CWV-wc (mm) Critical CWV, Column saturation & Critical column relative humidity Critical CWV, Col. Satn Crit. Col. RH 85 1.00

Convective transition: Basic statistics

MJOTF 6-Hourly,2.5° CNRM CM



Pickup good but too high, slight 2-step; broad PDFs|<sub>P>.25</sub>

Good MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics



6-Hourly,2.5° CanCM4



# broad PDFs

Bad MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)

#### Convective transition: Basic statistics



0.84

0.82

0.80

0.78

268

Reanalysis-2 - TMIv7r1

271

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

272 273 274

0.25

269 270

55

50

45

268 269 Reanal Sis-2 + TMIvar1

 $\hat{T}(K)$ 

271 272 273 274

270

Bad MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)

#### Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr PDF of CWV Precip. cond. avg. on CWV PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr 8 1.0 Precip > 0.25 mm hr<sup>-1</sup> • 265 WPac 7 Probability of Precip 266 10-2 $10^{-2}$ • 0.8 Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) 6 267 PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) PDF (mm<sup>-1</sup>) 5 268 0.6 10-3 269 $10^{-3}$ 4 270 0.4 3 271 $10^{-4}$ 272 10 2 0.2 273 1 274 0 0.0 10 $10^{-5}$ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 50 60 70 80 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 20 30 40 10 20 30 CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) CWV (mm) Convective transition: Collapsed statistics Prob. of Precip.>0.25mm/hr PDF of CWV for Precip.>0.25mm/hr Precip. cond. avg. on CWV PDF of CWV 10<sup>2</sup> 8 1.0 10<sup>1</sup> • 267 Slope=0.35 WPac $Precip > 0.25 \text{ mm hr}^{-1}$ Probability of Precip 268 Precip (mm hr<sup>-1</sup>) 0.8 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 6 269 (<sup>°</sup>) HOL/HOL/M<sup>°</sup> 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> PDF/PDF(wc) 5 270 0.6 100 271 4 272 0.4 3 273 $10^{-1}$ 2 • 274 0.2 1 $10^{-3}$ 10-0 0.0 -45 -30 -150 15 -45 -30 -15 15 -30-150 15 -45 -30-150 15 0 -45CWV-wc (mm) CWV-wc (mm) CWV-w<sub>c</sub> (mm) CWV-wc (mm) Critical CWV, Column saturation & Critical column relative humidity Critical CWV, Col. Satn Crit. Col. RH

Convective transition: Basic statistics

MJOTF 6-Hourly,2.5° EC-Earth



### Pickup good; good PDFs & PDFs |<sub>P>.25</sub> Good MJO (Gonzalez & Jiang 2017)

## Parameter dependence: Dependence on deep convective parameters in CESM1\*

#### **Entrainment (dmpdz)**



- CESM reproduces pickup with substantial entrainment (dmpdz)
- PDFs broaden as dmpdz decreases
- Pickup collapses for no-entrainment
- Corroboration of leading causal pathway via buoyancy impact

Instantaneous Precip, Column Water Vapor (CWV) At Manus Island (W. Pacific) ARM Site (3 grid points). <sup>Kuo et al. (2017)</sup>

\*Community Earth System Model 2°; 1975-2005 historical radiative & aerosol forcing

## Parameter dependence: Dependence on deep convective parameters in CESM1\*

#### Entrainment (dmpdz)



- CESM reproduces pickup with substantial entrainment (dmpdz)
- PDFs broaden as dmpdz decreases
- Pickup collapses for no-entrainment
- Similar dependence over land & ocean

Instantaneous Precip, Column Water Vapor (CWV) At Manaus GoAmazon ARM Site (3 grid points). <sup>Kuo et al. (2017)</sup>

\*Community Earth System Model 2°; 1975-2005 historical radiative & aerosol forcing

## Parameter dependence: Dependence on deep convective

parameters in CESM1\*

At GoAmazon site

- No pickup with low entrainment (dmpdz)
- Steeper pickup with longer convective adjustment timescale (τ)
- Re-evaporation of hydrometeor (k<sub>e</sub>) is inconsequential to pickup, though it affects pickup quantitatively, esp. over land
- Pickup insensitive to downdraft fraction (α)
- Similar dependence over ocean



Kuo et al. (2017)

## **Directions:** ongoing...

- Pareto fronts; stochastic modeling (not today)
- $\bullet$  Convective transition in  $\theta_{e}$  coordinates
- Connection to the DOE ARM diagnostics package
- unorganized vs organized convection

## Convective transition in $\theta_e$ variables: deepinflow mass flux framework

Mass flux form for inflow of equivalent potential temp.  $\theta_e$  into convective plume

$$\frac{\partial (M\theta_{e})}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial z} (\overline{\theta}_{e}^{*} - \overline{\theta}_{e}^{+})$$
Piecewise M  $\Rightarrow$  layer avg. variables  
 $\theta_{ebl}$  bdy.-layer  
 $\theta_{e}^{*}{}_{deep}$  saturation  $\theta_{e}$  for free trop. env.  
 $\theta_{e}^{+}{}_{L}$  subsaturation  $= \theta_{e}^{*} - \theta_{e}$  lower free trop.  
 $\theta_{e}^{+}{}_{M}$  subsaturation mid-trop.

Buoyancy

$$B(z) \propto \frac{\left(a\hat{\theta}_{ebl} - b\hat{\theta}_{eL}^{+} - c\hat{\theta}_{eM}^{+} - d\hat{\theta}_{edeep}^{*}\right)}{M(z)\overline{\theta}_{e}^{*}(z)} - 1$$

Piecewise deep inflow mass flux profile z=z<sub>F</sub>



a, b c , like entrainment coefficients: weights for mid-level buoyancy



# Convective transition in $\theta_e$ variables: buoyancy dependence & empirical inflow mixing coefficients

Precip pickup as function of lower 1.4 1.2 free trop. sub-Precip (mm/hr) 1.0 0.8 saturation  $\theta_{e^+}$ 0.6 (axis reversed so like 0.4 0.2 CWV) 0.0 **Colors: Free trop.** 35 temp. as  $\theta_{e^{*}deep}^{*}$ 

Collapse gives rel. coefficients in buoyancy eqn  $(\theta_{e}^{+}{}_{M}, \theta_{ebl}$  fixed) Microwave Precip, Era-interim  $\theta_{e}$ 



Ahmed & Neelin, in prep.

# Convective transition in $\theta_e$ variables: buoyancy dependence & empirical inflow mixing coefficients



Ahmed & Neelin, in prep.

## Contributions to ARM site diagnostics package\*

Convective transition statistics at DOE ARM sites (Nauru, top) compared to 3 models (CMIP5 CFMIP output)

Consistent with behavior across broader regions shown earlier

Potential to leverage broader ARM observational suite (e.g., DelGenio et al. 2015; Giangrande et al. 2016)

\*see talk by Peter Gleckler for more on ARM diagnostics



Chengzhu (Jill) Zhang, Kathleen Schiro, Shaocheng Xie, Peter Gleckler, JDN

## **Directions:** do related statistics apply when convection exhibits organization?



Schiro and Neelin (2017, in prep)

# **Directions:** can testing these statistics as a function of buoyancy help infer typical profiles of mixing? Including when there is organization?

**Deep Inflow Mixing** 

 z<sup>-1</sup> mixing corresponds tc weighting of inflow at ea through lower free tropo and boundary layer

Constant entrainmen Deep inflow mixing y clear pickup of p probability as a function bulk buoyancy measure organized & unorganized convection (also land/ocean, diurnal/seasonal cycles) Stronger weighting above ABL



Pickup as a function of buoyancy est. with Constant Mixing

Pickup as a function of buoyancy est. with Deep Inflow Mixing

Schiro and Neelin (2017, in prep)

## Concluding remarks

- Convection transition statistics...
  - Now quantified for robustness across a range of instrumentation, averaging scales
  - caveats: requires reasonably short time averages; care in treating observational products at highest Precip, CWV
  - not scalar metrics (yet) but process oriented
- Considerable range among models in simulating these fast-process diagnostics
- Parameter dependence can help identify both causal pathways and parameterization constraints
- With vertical layer information indications that can reverse engineer key aspects of deep convection dependence on environment (even for organized)
- Time-slice experiments: potential to serve as prototype for augmenting CMIP standard output for processoriented diagnostics