Towards an Operational High Resolution Deterministic Prediction System

RPN

<u>Jason Milbrandt</u> Natacha Bernier Anna Glazer Jocelyn Mailhot

CMDN

Amin Erfani Manon Faucher André Giguère François Lemay

National Laboratories

Ruping Mo (Vancouver)



Environment Environnement Canada Canada Pre-CPOP Seminar, 11 Feb 2011

SPDHR / HRDPS (i.e. "GEM-LAM-2.5 system)

HRDPS Development Group

RPN

<u>Jason Milbrandt</u> Natacha Bernier Anna Glazer Jocelyn Mailhot

CMDN

Amin Erfani Manon Faucher André Giguère François Lemay

National Laboratories

Ruping Mo (Vancouver) Ron Goodson (Edmonton)

https://wiki.cmc.ec.gc.ca/wiki/PPS/HRDPS

Outline of Presentation

- 1. Background
- 2. Proposal to upgrade HRDPS
- 3. Tests and evaluations
- 4. Future work

History of the HRDPS

- 1997: Project initiated by CMC/RPN (**HiMAP**)
- Since 1999: Collaboration with **PNR**
- Summer 2001: ELBOW project (MRB and Ontario region)
- Since 2002: Collaboration with **PYR**
- Since 2004: Collaboration **Quebec region**

Other related experimental systems:

- 2001: MAP
- 2007: MAP-DPHASE
- 2008-09: UNSTABLE
- 2008-10: Lancaster Sound
- 2010: Vancouver 2010 Winter Olympics/Paralympics

Current Status of the HRDPS

- 4 grids
- Horizontal grid spacing: 2.5 km
- 58 vertical levels
- Run 24-h, initialized from 00z Reg-15 run
- For each domain, a 15-km LAM is run first
- GEM_v3.2.2 / PHY_v4.4 + mods
 - Fouquart-Bonnel / Garand radiative transfer scheme
 - 1-moment Milbrandt-Yau microphysics scheme



Current Status of the HRDPS

• 4 grids





Motivation for an **Operational HRDPS**

Environment Canada forecasters already use it regularly
 There is often added forecast value in high-res DPS

- Better resolution of weather systems
- Better physics (e.g. precipitation scheme)
- 3. "Operational" status means exposure



Towards an Operational HRDPS

Upgrade-1: (this proposal)

- 1. Change model version to GEM_v4.2.0
- 2. Change physics configuration to that of V-10 system
- 3. Changes to Maritime domain

Upgrade-2:

- Switch to "operational" status
 - CPOP standards
 - formal verification package
- Further developments

Proposed Upgrade

Upgrade-1:

 \rightarrow

1. Change model version to GEM_v4.2.0

- Supported by GEM Development group
- Staggered vertical levels
- More efficient cascading

Proposed Upgrade

Upgrade-1:

 \rightarrow

1. Change model version to GEM_v4.2.0

- 2. Change physics configuration to that of V-10 system
 - Li and Barker (2005) radiative transfer
 - Double-moment microphysics

New Radiative Transfer Scheme:

Correlated k-Distribution Scheme (Li and Barker, 2005) Main impacts: (of scheme change in REG and Global)

- strong correction to tropical upper troposphere bias
- significant reduction in number of false alarms of tropical cyclones
- responsible for half of RMSE GZ500 reduction in Gem-Strato implementation
- warming of surface related to improved LW fluxes

Operational in RDPS (Reg-15) since March 2009 Operational in GDPS (Global) since June 2009 Part of Regional Ensembles since fall 2009 Part of next implementation of Global Ensembles

New Microphysics Scheme:

Double-Moment Milbrandt-Yau

Main differences: (vs. Single-Moment version, current system)

- several improvements to parameterizations
- better precipitation rates (in principle)
- new prognostic and diagnostic fields
- V10 + bug fixes
- **NOTE:** New scientific developments (variable graupel density, new hail initiation, etc.) will be proposed for Upgrade-2

Double-Moment Microphysics







→ Improved prediction of light pcp in the Vancouver region with double-moment scheme

Double-Moment Microphysics



 \rightarrow improved forecasts of snow amounts

Proposed Upgrade

Upgrade-1:

1. Change model version to GEM_v4.2.0

- 2. Change physics configuration to that of V-10 system
- 3. Changes to Maritime domain
- Surface ICs from GSL coupled system
- Expansion of grid

 \rightarrow

Initialization of the surface fields with output from the GSL coupled system

- SST (TM)
- ice fraction (GL)
- sea ice temperature (I7)
- sea ice thickness (I8)

REGETA1 → LAM-2.5km



00 UTC 25 March 2009

GSL system → LAM2.5km



00 UTC 25 March 2009



Expansion of Grid

MOTIVATION:better location of lateral boundaries

ME (Orographic Height) Level: 0 - Stamp: - Interval: 100 * 1.0e+00 (m)



→ Computational domain: 22% larger

Tests and Evaluations

- All tests done with OCM* (for rapid delivery to CMC operations)
- Only new changes (post-V10) were tested (e.g. use of GSL fields)
- Proposed package of changes was tested and evaluated based on 90 benchmark cases

Benchmark cases:

90 runs – 15 winter, 15 summer, 3 grids (*West, East, Maritime*)

 Winter:
 January 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, February 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)

 Summer:
 July 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, August 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)

• plus 8 high-impact summer 2008 weather cases (motivated from a PASPC study)

* Acknowledgments to GEM Development group for help setting up OCM suite

Tests and Evaluations

Verification / Evaluation

- Subjective evaluation
- *T* (2 m), *T_d* (2 m), *V_speed* (10 m), *V_dir* (10 m) based on EMET verification package
- 6-h QPF, based on package set up by B. Casati / B. Denis

Benchmark cases:

90 runs – 15 winter, 15 summer, 3 grids (*West, East, Maritime*)

 Winter:
 January 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, February 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)

 Summer:
 July 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, August 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)

• plus 8 high-impact summer 2008 weather cases (motivated from a PASPC study)

- Subjective evaluation
- 1. V-10 LAM runs scrutinized every day during Olympics and Paralympics





From SNOW-V10 website:





- Subjective evaluation
- 1. V-10 LAM runs scrutinized every day during Olympics and Paralympics
- 2. V10-LAM runs examined* during summer 2010 (PYR)

Conclusions, comparing LAM-V10-2.5km vs. LAM-West-2.5:

- For deep convection, there is no systematic change in skill
- For marine winds, there is a systematic improvement

*Link to Summary Report on wiki https://wiki.cmc.ec.gc.ca/wiki/PPS/HRDPS



- Subjective evaluation
- 1. V-10 LAM runs scrutinized every day during Olympics and Paralympics
- 2. V10-LAM runs examined during summer 2010 (PYR)
- 3. 8 summer high-impact weather cases were examined

Based on study* conducted for Edmonton PASPC →What if the LAM-West had been used for the forecast? Their conclusion: *Minimal impact* (vs. just using REG-15)

Our question: Would their answer have been different using the **proposed** configuration?

* Presented at CMOS 2010 (Greene et al.)



- Subjective evaluation
- *T* (2 m), *T_d* (2 m), *V_speed* (10 m), *V_dir* (10 m) based on objective verification package:



Benchmark cases:

90 runs – 15 winter, 15 summer, 3 grids (*West, East, Maritime*)Winter:January 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, February 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)Summer:July 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, August 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)



(de l'hébreu `emet = vérité)

suite logicielle de vérification construite sur SQL et R

> François Lemay Joseph-Pierre Toviessi





Couverture des données METAR

LAM EST





LAM OUEST



LAM OLYMPIQUE







- Implémentation d'une version opérationnelle
- Publication de la version 1.0 destinée aux usagers
- Recrutement d'usagers
- Utilisation de QC-OBS
- Ajout de nouveaux diagnostics dont scores de précipitation


















Tests and Evaluations

Why the systematic reduction in *V_speed*?

Why did we not see this before (for V10)?

Roughness Length (Z0):

Proposed > Current Proposed < Current



GenPhysX (2010) -Genesis (2006)



GenPhysX (2010) -Gengeo (2007)



GenPhysX (2010) -GenPhysX (2009)

Tests and Evaluations

Why the systematic reduction in V_speed? Why did we not see this before (for V10)?

Roughness Length (Z0):

Proposed > Current Proposed < Current



GenPhysX (2010) -Genesis (2006)

BIAIS (P-O) DU MODULE DU VENT (NOEUDS) periode du 2010-12-15 au 2011-01-31



LAM-2.5-Olympics LAM-2.5-West (current) REG-15



→ The systematic reduction in V_spd_2m was always there



→ Even during V-10, the wind speed bias was present in the <u>V10-LAM-2.5</u> (evaluated on the Olympic network)

Tests and Evaluations

Verification / Evaluation

- Subjective evaluation
- *T* (2 m), *T_d* (2 m), *V_speed* (10 m), *V_dir* (10 m) based on EMET verification package
- 6-h QPF, based on package set up by B. Casati / B. Denis

Benchmark cases:

90 runs – 15 winter, 15 summer, 3 grids (*West, East, Maritime*)Winter:January 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, February 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)Summer:July 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 31, August 3, 6, 9, 12 (2010)

QPF verification : methodology

• Verification at the GEM-LAM 2.5 km grid-scale



LAM 2.5, gauges

- Average pcp of gauges within LAM 2.5 km grid-box if more than one gauge
- 2. Mask out grid-boxes outside LAM and without observation
- 3. Compute QPF summary scores
- 4. Compute confidence intervals by bootstraping

Method from B. Casati / B. Denis

QPF verification: Gauge Distribution

- From the Canadian Precipitation Analysis project (CaPA) (Mahfouf et al . 2007)
- Uses SYNOP/METAR and RMCQ (Réseau météo coopératif du Québec)
- Number of stations for sub-domains:





<u>West</u>

begin date = 2010010100

Bias

1

0.5

ETS

0.5

0.2

0.2

end date = 2010021200 lead time / hour = 6

276

1

begin date = 2010010100 end date = 2010021200

lead time / hour = 6

276 1

187

threshold

5

10

15

25

2

2

PR 6 hour acc: LAM1 LAM2 versus up-scaled gauge obs

lam.west.v3.2.0

Ė

5

lam.west.v3.2.0

lam.west.v4.2.0 rc1+

threshold

PR 6 hour acc: LAM1 LAM2 versus up-scaled gauge obs

lam.west.v4.2.0_rc1+

Ŧ

10

-

15

25





Current



<u>West</u>



Winter 2010 – 12h

Current



<u>West</u>

25

25



Winter 2010 - 18h

Current



<u>West</u>



Winter 2010 - 24h

Current



<u>West</u>



Summer 2010 – 06h

Current

4 ∗

N

bias

1





<u>West</u>







PR 6 hour acc: LAM1 LAM2 versus up-scaled gauge obs am.east.v3.2.0 begin date = 2010070100 end date = 2010081200 Iam.east.v4.2.0 rc1+ lead time / hour = 12 ETS 25 M 0.20 -Б ETS 0 ₿ 5 Ė 0.05 0 606 483 351 218 121 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 15 25 threshold



Summer 2010 – 12h

Current



<u>West</u>



Summer 2010 - 18h

Current



West



Summer 2010 – 24h

Having said that,

These are <u>not</u> the appropriate metrics to evaluate a high-resolution NWP model precipitation

- gauge density and temporal resolution is insufficient
- small timing errors can heavily penalize model
- measuring snow precipitation quantity is very problematic

BUT:

- Major problems with proposed configuration would probably have been spotted
- This is a step towards proper QPF verification (for high-res)



21 August 2008 – "Marginal Success" (PASPC presentation, CMOS 2010)









Verification / Evaluation

- Subjective evaluation
- 1. V-10 LAM runs scrutinized every day during Olympics and Paralympics
- 2. V10-LAM runs examined during summer 2010 (PYR)
- 3. 8 summer high-impact weather cases were examined (PASPC)

What is the change in the forecast value between the **current** and the **proposed** configuration?

Ruping Mo's (BC National Lab; experienced forecaster) conclusion:
 → Though somewhat different, there is no real change in the forecast value

Computational Cost

Proposed vs. Current configuration:

- Total run time (start of LAM-15 to end of LAM-2.5):
 Reduced by ~ 45% (no GEMNTR for 2.5-km run)
- Change in computational cost*: ~25% extra
- Topology yet to be optimized (\rightarrow *time/cost will improve*)
- Maritime grid: 22% extra \rightarrow 4% extra for entire system
 - * Estimated from wall clock time for GEMDM task on East-2.5 km grid (identical computational domain), with the same processor topology (6x12x4)

Summary of Proposal

Upgrade-1

Change model version to GEM_v4.2.0
 Change to V-10 physics configuration

- CKD radiative transfer scheme
- Double-moment microphysics scheme

3. Changes to Maritime domain

- Sfc ICs from GSL coupled system
- Expansion of grid

Upgrade-1: (this proposal)

- Change model version to GEM_v4.2.0
- Change to V-10 physics configuration
- Changes to Maritime domain

Upgrade-2: FUTURE

- Switch to "operational" status
 - CPOP standards
 - formal verification package
- Further developments to improve system

Further developments to improve system:

- Improvements to image production
- Redistribution of vertical levels
- Lid-nesting
- Forcing from GSL model (not just ICs)
- Grids configuration (appropriate to needs)
- Improvements to microphysics (e.g. graupel and hail)
- Sloped-surfaces for radiation scheme
- Etc.













Ē



 \rightarrow VIZAWEB



Clouds and visibility



Further developments to improve system:

- Improvements to image production
- Redistribution of vertical levels
- Lid-nesting
- Forcing from GSL model (not just ICs)
- Grids configuration (appropriate to needs)
- Improvements to microphysics (e.g. graupel and hail)
- Sloped-surfaces for radiation scheme
- Etc.

Examining alternative configurations of vertical levels

Current Levels (58):

V_4.1.4, gem_settings.nml_L58 In(pi)=A+B*In(pis/100000.)

Alternative Configuration (48):

V 4.1.4, gem settings.nml L48



→ Possible improvements to winds and temperature



Examining alternative configurations of vertical levels

V 4.1.4, gem settings.nml L58 In(pi)=A+B*In(pis/100000.) ptop = 1000 pa rcoefs = 1.1Delta In(pi) (Momentum) essure [hPa] Pressure [hPa] L 130 850 900 850 900 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 56 momentum Delta below the hill Top/Bot Delta over top of the hill

Alternative Configuration (48):

V 4.1.4, gem settings.nml L48



Current Levels (58):

Further developments to improve system:

- Improvements to image production
- Redistribution of vertical levels
- Lid-nesting
- Forcing from GSL model (not just ICs)
- Grids configuration (appropriate to needs)
- Improvements to microphysics (e.g. graupel and hail)
- Sloped-surfaces for radiation scheme
- Etc.

Further developments to improve system:

- Improvements to image production
- Redistribution of vertical levels
- Lid-nesting
- Forcing from GSL model (not just ICs)
- Grids configuration (appropriate to needs)
- Improvements to microphysics (e.g. graupel and hail)
- Sloped-surfaces for radiation scheme
- Etc.

HRDPS:

Goal: To add forecast value for high-impact weather events in Canada

100 km from the southern border

Population density, 2006 by Dissemination Area (DA)

JOHN'S

500 km



Further developments to improve system:

- Improvements to image production
- Redistribution of vertical levels
- Lid-nesting
- Forcing from GSL model (not just ICs)
- Grids configuration (appropriate to needs)
- Improvements to microphysics (e.g. graupel and hail)
- Sloped-surfaces for radiation scheme
- Etc.

Further developments to improve system:

- Improvements to image production
- Redistribution of vertical levels
- Lid-nesting
- Forcing from GSL model (not just ICs)
- Grids configuration (appropriate to needs)
- Improvements to microphysics (e.g. graupel and hail)
- Sloped-surfaces for radiation scheme
- Etc.


Towards an **Operational HRDPS**

Upgrade-1: (this proposal)

1. Change model version to GEM_v4.2.0

- 2. Change to V-10 physics configuration
- 3. Changes to Maritime domain

Upgrade-2: (future)

- Switch to "operational" status
 - CPOP standards
 - formal verification package
- further developments to improve system

EXTRA SLIDES







- To be run in user account (Ron Goodson), starting very soon
- Images will be available on VIZAWEB
- Feedback from users requested! Is this grid useful?

Deterministic vs. Ensemble

for prediction of severe weather elements w/ deep convection



Deterministic vs. Ensemble

for prediction of severe weather elements w/ deep convection







Growing Orography

Nesting increments 15km : 2.5km (<1800 m) and 2.5km : 1km (<600 m) involve orographic changes that cause imbalances during nesting:

Gravity waves are generated as the dynamics come into balance (left)

Effects of subterranean extrapolation can be long-lived (right)



Vertical motion (different colour scales) along an isolated ridge in an idealized simulation. No growing orography (left) is compared with the final step of a 12-h growth period (right).

c/o Ron McTaggart-Cowan

Extrapolated 6.5oC/km lapse rate and constant winds cause an initial error of 6-7°C at Squamish on the OM grid. This noctural inversion cannot be re-established before sunrise in the model.





Gridpoint Storms

- Gridpoint storms occurred occasionally on the 1 km domain (OH) during the 2009 Practicum period:
- under light wind conditions (downwind slopes) with strong nocturnal inversions in the valleys
- progressive warming of the lowest model layers leads to static instability at isolated gridpoints
- GEM v4 ("staggering") with prognostic thermodynamic level near the surface eliminates the source of instability

15-hr accumulation of the precipitation field (points with accumulation in excess of 150mm)



1-km runs from UNSTABLE

GEM_v3.3.0

GEM_v4.0.5



Observed: It was a very clear day, no storms

